



	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement				
A. Written Safety & Health Management System				
A1. Are all the elements (such as Management Leadership and Employee Involvement, Worksite Analysis, Hazard Prevention and Control, and Safety and Health Training) and sub-elements of a basic safety and health management system part of a signed, written document? (For Federal Agencies, include 29 CFR 1960.) If not, please explain.				
•				
A2. Have all VPP elements and sub-elements been in place at least 1 year? If not, please identify those elements that have not been in place for at least 1 year.				
•				
A3. Is the written safety and health management system at least minimally effective to address the scope and complexity of worksite hazards? If not, please explain. MRO				
•				
A4. Have any VPP documentation requirements been waived (as per FRN, VOL. 74, NO. 6, 01/09/09 page 936, IV, A.4.)? If so, please explain.				
•				

	Yes or No	How Assessed		
		Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement				
B. Management Commitment & Leadership				
B1. Does management overall demonstrate at least minimally effective, visible leadership with respect to the safety and health management system (as per FRN, VOL. 74, NO. 6, 01/09/09 page 936, IV. A.5. a-h)? Provide examples. MRO •				
B2. How has the site communicated established policies and results-oriented goals and objectives for employee safety to employees? •				
B3. Do employees understand the goals and objectives for the safety and health management system? •				
B4. Are the safety and health management system goals and objectives meaningful and attainable? Provide examples supporting the meaningfulness and attainability (or lack there of if answer is no) of the goal(s). (Attainability can either be unrealistic/realistic goals or poor/good implementation to achieve them.) •				
B5. How does the site measure its progress towards the safety and health management system goals and objectives? Provide examples. •				

	Yes or No	How Assessed		
		Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement				
C. Planning				
C1. <i>How does the site integrate planning for safety and health with its overall management planning process (for example, budget development, resource allocation, or training)?</i>				
•				
C2. <i>Is safety and health effectively integrated into the site's overall management planning process? If not, please explain.</i>				
•				
C3. <i>For site-based construction sites, is safety included in the planning phase of each project? MRO</i>				
•				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement				
D. Authority and Line Accountability				
D1. Does top management accept ultimate responsibility for safety and health? (Top management acknowledges ultimate responsibility even if some safety and health functions are delegated to others.) If not, please explain. MRØ •				
D2. How is the assignment of authority and responsibility documented and communicated (for example, organization charts, job descriptions, etc.)? •				
D3. Do the individuals assigned responsibility for safety and health have the authority to ensure that hazards are corrected or necessary changes to the safety and health management system are made? If not, please explain. MRØ •				
D4. How are managers, supervisors, and employees held accountable for meeting their responsibilities for workplace safety and health? (Are annual performance evaluations for managers and supervisors require?) •				
D5. Are adequate resources (equipment, budget, or experts) dedicated to ensuring workplace safety and health? Provide examples. MRØ •				
D6. Is access to experts (for example, Certified Industrial Hygienists, Certified Safety Professionals, Occupational Nurses, or Engineers), reasonably available, based upon the nature, conditions, complexity, and hazards of the site? If so, under what arrangements and how often are they used? •				

	Yes or No	How Assessed		
		Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement				
E. Contract Employees				
E1. Does the site utilize contractors? Please explain. •				
E2. Were there contractors/sub-contractors onsite at the time of the evaluation? •				
E3. When selecting onsite contractors/sub-contractors, how does the site evaluate the contractor's safety and health management system and performance (including rates)? •				
E4. Are contractors and subcontractors required to maintain an effective safety and health management system and to comply with all applicable OSHA and company safety and health rules and regulations? If not, please explain. •				
E5. Does the site's contractor program cover the prompt correction and control of hazards in the event that the contractor/sub-contractor fails to correct or control such hazards? Provide examples. MRO •				
E6. How does the site document and communicate oversight, coordination, and enforcement of safety and health expectations to contractors? •				
E7. Have the contract provisions specifying penalties for safety and health issues been enforced, when appropriate? If not, please explain. •				
E8. How does the site monitor the quality of the safety and health protection of its contract employees? •				
E9. Do contract provisions for contractors require the periodic review and analysis of injury and illness data? Provide examples •				
E10. If the contractors' injury and illness rates are above the average for their industries, describe the site's procedures that ensure that all employees are provided effective protection on the worksite? If yes, please explain. •				
E11. Based on your answers to the above items, is the contract oversight minimally effective for the nature of the site? (Inadequate oversight is indicated by significant hazards created by the contractor, employees exposed to hazards, or a lack of host audits.) If not, please explain. MRO •				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement				
F. Employee Involvement				
F1. How were employees selected to be interviewed by the VPP team? •				
F2. How many employees were interviewed formally? How many were interviewed informally? •				
F3. Do employees support the site's participation in the VPP? MRO •				
F4. Do employees feel free to participate in the safety and health management system without fear of discrimination or reprisal? If so, please explain. MRO •				
F5. Are employees meaningfully involved in the problem identification and resolution, or evaluation of the safety and health management system (beyond hazard reporting). (As per FRN page 936 IV, A.6.) For site-based construction sites, does the company encourage strong labor-management communication in the form of supervisor and employee participation in toolbox safety meetings and training, safety audits, incident investigations, etc.? •				
F6. Are employees knowledgeable about the site's safety and health management system? If not, please explain. •				
F7. Are employees knowledgeable about the VPP? If not, please explain. •				
F8. Are the employees knowledgeable about OSHA rights and responsibilities? If not, please explain. •				
F9. How were employees informed of the safety and health management system, VPP and OSHA rights and responsibilities? Please explain.				
F10. Did management verify employee's comprehension of the site's safety and health management system, VPP and OSHA rights and responsibilities? •				
F11. Do employees have access to results of self-inspection, accident investigation, appropriate medical records, and personal sampling data upon request? If not, please explain. •				

		How Assessed			
		Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement					
G. Safety and Health Management System Evaluation					
G1. Briefly describe the system in place for conducting an annual evaluation. •					
G2. Does the annual evaluation cover the aspects of the safety and health management system, including the elements described in the Federal Register ? If not, please explain. MRO •					
G3. Does the annual evaluation include written recommendations in a narrative format? If not, please explain. •					
G4. Is the annual evaluation an effective tool for assessing the success of the site's safety and health management system? Please explain. •					
G5. What evidence demonstrates that the site responded adequately to the recommendations made in the annual evaluation? •					
G6. Is the annual evaluation conducted by competent site, corporate or other trained personnel experienced in performing evaluations? •					

Section I: Management Leadership & Employee Involvement

Merit Goals: *(Include cross- reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., I.B2)*

- 1.
- 2.

90-Day Items: *(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)*

- 1.
- 2.

Best Practices:

- 1.
- 2.

Comments including Recommendations: *(optional)*

- 1.
- 2.

Documents Referenced, Programs Reviewed: *(optional)*

- 1.
- 2.

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
A. Baseline Hazard Analysis				
A1. Has the site been at least minimally effective at identifying and documenting the common safety and health hazards associated with the site (such as those found in OSHA regulations, building standards, etc., and for which existing controls are well known)? If not, please explain. MRO				
•				
A2. What methods are used in the baseline hazard analysis to identify health hazards? (Please include examples of instances when initial screening and full-shift sampling were used. See FRN page 937, B.2.b)				
•				
A3. Does the company rely on historical data to evaluate health hazards on the worksite? If so, did the company identify any operations that differed significantly from past experience and conduct additional analysis such as sampling or monitoring to ensure employee protection? If so, please describe.				
•				
A4. Does the site have a documented sampling strategy used to identify health hazards and assess employees' exposure (including duration, route, and frequency of exposure), and the number of exposed employees? If not, please explain. MRO				
•				
A5. Do sampling, testing, and analysis follow nationally recognized procedures? If not, please explain.				
•				
A6. Does the site compare sampling results to the minimum exposure limits or are more restrictive exposure limits (PELs, TLVs, etc.) used? Please explain.				
•				
A7. Does the baseline hazard analysis adequately identify hazards (including health) that need further analysis? If not, please explain. For site-based construction sites, does the hazard analysis include studies to identify potential employee hazards, phase analyses, task analyses, etc.?				
•				
A8. Does industrial hygiene sampling data, such as initial screening or full shift sampling data, indicate that records are being kept in logical order and include all sampling information (for example, sampling time, date, employee, job title, concentrated measures, and calculations)? If not, please explain the deficiencies and how they are being addressed.				
•				
A9. For site-based construction sites, are hazard analyses conducted to address safety and health for each phase of work?				
•				


	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
B. Hazard Analysis of Significant Changes				
B1. <i>When purchasing new materials or equipment, or implementing new processes, what types of analyses are performed to determine impact on safety and health, and are these analyses adequate?</i> •				
B2. <i>When implementing/introducing non-routine tasks, materials or equipment, or modifying processes, what types of analyses are performed to determine impact on safety and health, and are these analyses adequate?</i> •				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
C. Hazard Analysis of Routine Activities				
C1. <i>Is there at least a minimally effective hazard analysis system in place for routine operations and activities?</i> MRO •				
C2. <i>Does hazard identification and analysis address both safety and health hazards, if appropriate? If not, please explain.</i> •				
C3. <i>What hazard analysis technique(s) are employed for routine operations and activities (e.g., job hazard analysis, HAZ-OPS, fault trees)? Please explain.</i> •				
C4. <i>Are the results of the hazard analysis of routine activities adequately documented? If not, please explain.</i> •				
C5. <i>For site-based construction sites, are hazard analyses conducted to address safety and health hazards for specialty trade contractors during each phase of work?</i> •				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
D. Routine Inspections				
D1. Does the site have a minimally effective system for performing safety and health inspections (i.e., a minimally effective system identifies hazards associated with normal operations)? If not, please explain. MR ⓪				
•				
D2. Are routine safety and health inspections conducted monthly, with the entire site covered at least quarterly (construction sites: entire site weekly)? MR ⓪				
•				
D3. For site-based construction sites, are employees required to conduct inspections as often as necessary, but not less than weekly, of their workplace/area and of equipment? MR ⓪				
•				
D4. Does the site incorporate hazards identified through baseline hazard analysis, accident investigations, annual evaluations, etc., into routine inspections to prevent reoccurrence?				
•				
D5. Are employees conducting inspections adequately trained in hazard identification? If not, please explain.				
•				
D6. Is the routine inspection system written, including documentation of results indicating what needs to be corrected, by whom, and by when? If not, please explain.				
•				
D7. Did the VPP team find hazards that were not found/noted on the site's routine inspections? If so, please explain.				
•				

	Yes or No	How Assessed		
		Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
E. Hazard Reporting				
E1. <i>Is there a minimally effective means for employees to report hazards and have them addressed? If not, please explain. MRO</i> •				
E2. <i>Does the hazard reporting system have an anonymous component?</i> •				
E3. <i>Does the site have a reliable system for employees to notify appropriate management personnel in writing about safety and health concerns? Please describe.</i> •				
E4. <i>Do the employees agree that they have an effective system for reporting safety and health concerns? If not, please explain</i> •				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
F. Hazard Tracking				
F1. <i>Does a minimally effective hazard tracking system exist that result in hazards being controlled? If not, please explain. MRO</i> •				
F2. <i>Does the hazard tracking system result in hazards being corrected and provide feedback to employees for hazards they have reported? If not, please explain.</i> •				
F3. <i>Does the hazard tracking system result in timely correction of hazards with interim protection established when needed? Please describe.</i> •				
F4. <i>Does the hazard tracking system address hazards found by employees, hazard analysis of routine and non-routine activities, inspections, and accident or incident investigations? If not, please explain</i> •				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
G. Accident/Incident Investigations				
G1. <i>Is there a minimally effective system for conducting accident/incident investigations, including near-misses? If not, please explain. MR</i>				
•				
G2. <i>Is the accident/incident investigation policy and procedures documented and understood by all? If not, please explain.</i>				
•				
G3. <i>Is there a reporting system for near-misses that include tracking, etc.? If not, please explain.</i>				
•				
G4. <i>Are those conducting the investigations trained in accident/incident investigation techniques? Please explain what techniques are used, e.g., Fault-Tree, Root Cause, etc.</i>				
•				
G5. <i>Describe how investigators discover and document all the contributing factors that led to an accident/incident or a near-miss.</i>				
•				
G6. <i>Were any uncontrolled hazards discovered during the investigation previously addressed in any prior hazard analyses (e.g., baseline, self-inspection)? If yes, please explain.</i>				
•				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section II: Worksite Analysis				
H. Trend Analysis				
H1. Does the site have a minimally effective means for identifying and assessing trends? MRO •				
H2. Have there been any injury and/or illness trends over the last three years? If so, please explain. •				
H3. Did the team identify trends that should have been identified by the site? If so, please describe. •				
H4. If there have been injury and/or illness trends, what adequate courses of action have been taken? Please explain. •				
H5. Does the site assess trends utilizing data from hazard reports and/or accident/incident investigations to determine the potential for injuries and illnesses? If not, please explain. •				
H6. Are the results of trend analyses shared with employees and management and utilized to direct resources, prioritize hazard controls and modify goals to address trends? If not, please explain. •				

Section II: Worksite Analysis

Merit Goals: *(Include cross- reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., II.B2)*

- 1.
- 2.

90-Day Items: *(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)*

- 1.
- 2.

Best Practices:

- 1.
- 2.


Comments including Recommendations: *(optional)*




- 1.
- 2.

Documents Referenced, Programs Reviewed: *(optional)*

- 1.
- 2.

		How Assessed			
		Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section III: Hazard Prevention and Control					
A. Hazard Prevention and Control					
A1. Does the site select at least minimally effective controls to prevent exposing employees to hazards? MRØ •		█			
A2. When the site selects hazard controls, does it follow the preferred hierarchy (engineering controls, administrative controls, work practice controls [e.g., lockout/tagout, bloodborne pathogens, and confined space programs], and personal protective equipment) to eliminate or control hazards? Please provide examples, such as how exposures to health hazards were controlled. •		█			
A3. Describe any administrative controls used at the site to limit employee exposure to hazards (for example, job rotation). •		▨			
A4. Do the work practice controls and administrative controls adequately address those hazards not covered by engineering controls? If not, please explain. •		█			
A5. Are the work practice controls (e.g., lockout/tagout, bloodborne pathogens, and confined space programs) recommended by hazard analyses implemented at the site? If not, please explain. •		█			
A6. Are follow-up studies (where appropriate) conducted to ensure that hazard controls were adequate? If not, please explain. •		█			
A7. Are hazard controls documented and addressed in appropriate procedures, safety and health rules, inspections, training, etc.? Provide examples. •		█			

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section III: Hazard Prevention and Control				
Disciplinary System				
A8. Are there written employee safety procedures including a disciplinary system? Describe the disciplinary system? •				
A9. Has the disciplinary system been clearly communicated and enforced equally for both management and employees, when appropriate? If not, please explain. •				
Emergency Procedures				
A10. Does the site have minimally effective written procedures for emergencies? MRO •				
A11. Did the site explain the frequency and types of emergency drills held (including at least an evacuation drill annually)? •				
A12. Is the emergency response plan updated as changes occur in the work areas e.g., evacuation routes or auditory systems? •				
A13. Did the site describe the system used to verify all employees' participation in at least one evacuation drill each year? •				
Preventative/Predictive Maintenance				
A14. Does the site have a written preventative/predictive maintenance system? If not, please explain. •				
A15. Did the hazard identification and analysis (including manufacturers' recommendations) identify hazards that could result if equipment is not maintained properly? If not, please explain. •				
A16. Does the preventive maintenance system detect hazardous failures before they occur? If not, please explain. Is the preventive maintenance system adequate? •				
Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)				
A17. How does the site select Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)? •				
A18. Did the site describe the PPE used at the site?				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section III: Hazard Prevention and Control				
•				
A19. Where PPE is required, do employees understand that it is required, why it is required, its limitations, how to use it, and how to maintain it? If not, please explain.				
•				
A20. Did the team observe employees using, storing, and maintaining PPE properly? If not, please explain.				
•				
Process Safety Management (PSM)				
A21. Is the site covered by the Process Safety Management standard (29 CFR 1910.119)? If yes, please answer questions A22-A25 below. Additionally, please complete either the onsite evaluation supplement A or B, and the onsite evaluation supplement C. If not, skip to section B. MRØ				
•				
A22. Which chemicals that trigger the Process Safety Management (PSM) standard are present? MRØ				
•				
A23. Which process(es) were followed from beginning to end and used to verify answers to the questions asked in the PSM application supplement, the PSM Questionnaire, and/or the Dynamic Inspection Priority Lists? MRØ				
•				
A24. Verify that contractor employees who perform maintenance, repair, turnaround, major renovation or specialty work on or adjacent to a covered process have received adequate training and demonstrate appropriate knowledge of hazards associated with PSM, such as non-routine tasks, process hazards, hot work, emergency evacuation procedures, etc.? Please explain. MRØ				
•				
A25. Is the PSM program adequate in that it addresses the elements of the PSM standard and the PSM directive? Please explain. MRØ				
•				

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section III: Hazard Prevention and Control				
B. Occupational Health Care Program				
B1. Describe the occupational health care program (including availability of physician services, first aid, and CPR/AED) and special programs such as audiograms or other medical tests used.				
•				
B2. How are licensed occupational health professionals used in the site's hazard identification and analysis, early recognition and treatment of illness and injury, and the system for limiting the severity of harm that might result from workplace illness or injury? Is this use appropriate?				
•				
B3. Is the occupational health program adequate for the size and location of the site, as well as the nature of hazards found here? If not, please explain.				
•				
C. Recordkeeping				
C1. Are OSHA required recordkeeping forms being maintained properly in terms of accuracy, form completion, etc.? If not, please explain. MRO				
• Yes upon review the records are being kept in the required format.				
C2. Is the recordkeeper knowledgeable of 29 CFR 1904, OSHA's recordkeeping standard? MRO				
• XXXX appear to have a good knowledge of the 1904 standard and interpretations.				
C3. What records were reviewed to determine compliance with the recordkeeping standard?				
• OSHA 300s, OSHA 300a's, OSHA 301's, first aid reports, first aid logs, medical records, accident investigations, report only to say as near miss reports,				
C4. Do the injury and illness rates accurately reflect work performed by contractors/sub-contractors at the site evaluated? Please explain. MRO				
•				
C5. Was there any evidence of recordable injuries/illnesses not being reported due to management pressure, production concerns, incentive programs, etc.? If yes, please explain.				
• No in the alternative they report more than is required at the present time in the notes.				

Section III: Hazard Prevention and Control

Merit Goals: *(Include cross reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., I.B2)*

- 1.
- 2.

90-Day Items: *(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)*

- 1.
- 2.

Best Practices:

- 1.
- 2.

Comments including Recommendations: (optional)

- 1.
- 2.

Documents Referenced, Programs Reviewed: (optional)

1. OSHA 300s, 301's, and first reports of injury and illness, near miss records,
- 2.

	How Assessed			
	Yes or No	Interview	Observation	Doc Review
Section IV: Safety and Health Training				
A. Safety and Health Training				
A1. <i>What are the safety and health training requirements for managers, supervisors, employees, and contractors? Please explain.</i> •	█			
A2. <i>Is the training delivered by qualified instructors?</i> •	█			
A3. <i>Does the training provided to managers, supervisors, and non-supervisory employees (including contract employees) adequately address safety and health hazards? MRO</i> •	█			
A4. <i>Does the company/site operate an effective safety and health orientation program for all employees including new hires? Please explain.</i> •	█			
A5. <i>How are the safety and health training needs for employees determined? Please explain.</i> •	▨			
A6. <i>Does the site provide minimally effective training to educate supervisors and employees (including contract employees) regarding the known hazards of the site and their controls? If not, please explain. MRO</i> •	█			
A7. <i>Are managers, supervisors, and non-supervisory employees (including contract employees) taught the safe work procedures to follow in order to protect themselves from hazards during initial job training and subsequent reinforcement training?</i> •	█			
A8. <i>Who is trained in hazard identification and analysis?</i> •	▨			
A9. <i>Is training in hazard identification and analysis adequate for the conditions and hazards of the site? If not, please explain.</i> •	█			
A10. <i>Does management have a thorough understanding of the hazards of the site? Provide examples that demonstrate their understanding.</i> •	█			
A11. <i>Do managers, supervisors, and non-supervisory employees (including contract employees) and visitors on the site understand what to do in emergency situations? Please explain.</i> •	█			

Section IV: Safety and Health Training

Merit Goals: *(Include cross reference to section, subsection, and question, e.g., I.B2)*

1.

2.

90-Day Items: *(Delete this section for final transmittal to National Office)*

1.

2.

Best Practices:

1.

2.

Comments including Recommendations: *(optional)*

1.

2.

Documents Referenced, Programs Reviewed: *(optional)*

1.

2.

VPP Participant and Onsite Evaluation Team Data Sheet

VPP Participant Information:		
Company Name:		
Site Address:		
Mailing Address:		
Site Manager Name:		
Site Manager Phone:		Site Manager E-mail Address:
Small Employer (<250 employees onsite AND <500 employees corporate-wide: Yes_____ No_____)		
NAICS Code:	No. of site employees:	No. of site contract employees:
Union Information		
Union Name & Local No.:		
Site Representative:		
Mailing Address:		
Telephone Number:		
Union Information		
Union Name & Local No.:		
Site Representative:		

Mailing Address:			
Telephone Number:			
Onsite Evaluation Team Information:			
Evaluation Start Date:		Evaluation End Date:	
Type of Visit: Initial Approval: _____ Reevaluation: _____		Participation: Site-based: _____ Mobile Workforce: _____ Corporate: _____	
MAO Requested: Yes _____ No _____ If Yes, Date:	MAO Rec'd Before Onsite: Yes _____ No _____	Date MAO Rec'd:	
90/30 Day Items: Yes _____ No _____		Date 90/30 Day Items Completed:	
Team Members		Discipline of Members	
Team Leader (TL): Back-Up Team Leader: Team Member 2: Team Member 3: Team Member 4: Team Member 5:		Team Leader: Back-Up Team Leader: Team Member 2/SGE: Team Member 3/SGE: Team Member 4/SGE: Team Member 5/SGE:	

PARTICIPANT AREAS OF EXCELLENCE/BEST PRACTICES CHECKLIST

<input type="checkbox"/> Ergo Program	<input type="checkbox"/> Confined Space Program	<input type="checkbox"/> LO/TO Program
<input type="checkbox"/> PSM	<input type="checkbox"/> Hazard Analysis	<input type="checkbox"/> Contractor Program
<input type="checkbox"/> Medical Program	<input type="checkbox"/> Self-Inspections	<input type="checkbox"/> Accountability
<input type="checkbox"/> Industrial Hygiene	<input type="checkbox"/> Employee Involvement	<input type="checkbox"/> Tracking of Hazards
<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-Job Analysis	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:	

STRATEGIC PLAN

High Hazard Industries

<input type="checkbox"/> Landscaping – 078	<input type="checkbox"/> Oil/Gas – 138	<input type="checkbox"/> Fruits/Vegetables 203
<input type="checkbox"/> Concrete/Gypsum/Plaster – 327	<input type="checkbox"/> Blast Furnace/Steel Production – 331	<input type="checkbox"/> Ship/Boat Building/Repair – 373
	<input type="checkbox"/> Wholesale Storage – 422	

Hazards

<input type="checkbox"/> Ergo	<input type="checkbox"/> Lead	<input type="checkbox"/> Silica
<input type="checkbox"/> Amputations – Construction	<input type="checkbox"/> Amputations – General Industry	

VPP CORPORATE TRACKING

	Application Review	Onsite Prep	Onsite	Report Writing	Total
Team Hours Spent (Est)					